

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/633,015	07/31/	2003	Elena Pavlovskaia	018563-002920US	4730
46718	7590	06/23/2005		EXAM	INER
	D AND TOV	MANAHAN, TODD E			
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER, EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3732	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/633,015	PAVLOVSKAIA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Todd E. Manahan	3732		

•	Lxammer	Ait oilit	
·	Todd E. Manahan	3732 .	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in diance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replacement	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of		<i>_</i> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d)⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	eiected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> .	·		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance pacause.
		11 1	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)		MO(s)	
13. Other: corrected drawing filed 10 June 2005 have been		L \$14+11	
The IDS filed 10 June 2005 is not in compliance with 37 CFR	1.9/(d).	NUUT	_

Todd E. Manahan **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit: 3732

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): obvious-type Double Patenting rejection of claims 1-26 has been overcome by the Termiinal Disclaimer filed 10 June 2005.